Influence of Male to Female Ratio on Hormone Profiles and Reproductive Performance of Anestrus Postpartum Ewes Subjected to the Male Effect
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ABSTRACT

**Background:** Complete isolation of genders allows intense estrous induction and synchronization once rams are introduced in ewe flocks at the onset of the breeding season (BS). This management practice, defined as the male effect, results from a neuroendocrine process mediated by pheromones. The male effect is a straightforward procedure to induce estrous in non-cycling ewes, but conditions for its use have not been fully explored. Thus, this study aimed to evaluate hormone levels and ovarian activity of postpartum ewes in anestrus which are subjected to the male effect under different male to female ratios.

**Material, Methods & Results:** Postpartum females were selected according to body condition score and cyclicity status. Females were kept apart from males during 30 days at a distance of 10 m. Anestrus and ovulation were determined by P4 measures on days 10, 20 and 30 after isolation from males. After P4 concentration diagnosis, anestrus ewe (n = 99) were subjected to male to ewe ratios (MFR) of 1:20 (MFR20), 1:30 (MFR30) and 1:40 (MFR40). Santa Inês rams (n = 3) of proven fertility were used. Three females of each group were randomly subjected to blood collection for LH concentration analysis. Ovarian activity was performed by ultrasonography after estrus manifestation in six ewe of each group. Estrus events were observed twice a day during the BS of 35 days, and estrus were considered synchronized when it occurred within the initial five days of the BS. Pregnancy diagnosis was performed by ultrasonography on days 35 and 60 after the last mating. All ewe were in a non-cycling condition before BS onset, based upon P4 analysis. After initiation of the BS, P4 concentrations increased for all groups. Irrespectively of male to female ratio, male effect induced LH pre-ovulatory peaks within the initial 26 to 86 h of the BS. Synchronization of estrus reached 50% for MFR20, 40% for MFR30 and 20% for MFR40 for all ewe. Moreover, overall estrus incidence was 100% (MFR20), 90% (MFR30) and 65% (MFR40) within the initial 15 days of the BS. However, incidence of ewe that had repeated estrus events was lower for MFR20 than for MFR30. Follicular growth and number of ovulations was similar between groups. Conception rates on first service was higher than that of second service for MFR20 and MFR30, although there was no difference between services for MFR40. In contrast, overall conception rates, delivery type and prolificacy were similar between groups.

**Discussion:** P4 increased to cyclicity levels after contact between genders, demonstrating the potential of the male effect to induce estrus in non-cycling ewes. Most ewe ovulated within three days after the male effect, possibly due to elevated basal LH levels. Moreover, the LH preovulatory peak varied within groups, possibly due to greater interactions between genders, which ultimately may have led to earlier ovulation anticipation under lower MFR. Estrus parameters were similar between groups, suggesting low or negligible effects of MFR. Ovulatory follicle size and growth and the number of ovulations were similar between all groups; previous reports have suggested that this may be due to a strong effect of their genetic background. Conception rates were higher at first than second services, demonstrating the potential of male effect. In conclusion, male to female ratio affects the efficiency of the male effect to induce and synchronize estrus in ewes under postpartum anestrus, but it does not affect conception rates and prolificacy.
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INTRODUCTION

Sheep production is usually performed under extensive areas that do not favor high reproductive efficiency. Thus, prolonged anestrous postpartum is a limiting factor to livestock production as it negatively affects reproductive rates and overall profitability. On the other hand, anestrous can be easily minimized by adopting appropriate management practices such as the male effect [7,22], which is low cost, easily implemented and fits within animal welfare criteria [1,2,4,5,9,13]. Additionally, the male effect may be used as a breeding tool to select females with higher reproductive potential as it has been shown to be associated with reduced age at first delivery and interval between deliveries and increased number of multiple deliveries [3,19].

In general terms, farms in semiarid regions of the northeast of Brazil are small to medium size. This makes it difficult to adopt approaches such as the male effect due to limited distances to isolate genders in order to avoid visual, physical, auditory and olfactory contact [24] for variable periods of time required for male effect [6,15,27]. However, recent unequivocal demonstrations have shown that preconditioning distance for the male effect is not a major factor, as it only requires avoidance of physical contact between genders with a minimum distance of 2 m [5].

In contrast to sexually less active males that may contribute to estrus events of short duration and silenced ovulations [25,26], it is known that males with higher libido induce a greater number of ovulations due to higher interaction with females [6,25]. Moreover, male to female ratio is an important variable of reproductive management since the importance of male fertility on reproduction programs is much greater than any female alone, since males can be mated to several females, independently of mating systems [23].

Due to the fact that male to female ratio is less understood, especially when associated with the male effect, as well as the need to improve current understanding on physiological parameters possibly influenced by this association during the BS, this study aimed to evaluate P4 and LH concentration and ovarian activity in anestrous postpartum ewes subjected to the male effect.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted in Escada, Pernambuco state, Brazil. The geographic coordinates were latitude 08° 21’ 33’’ S, longitude 35° 13’ 25’’ O. The altitude is 109 m, with a mean annual temperature of 24.4°C and mean annual rainfall of 1,763 mm. The weather is tropical semi-humid, with a rainy period from May to August.

Animals were raised in pastures during the day and kept in a pen late in the afternoon. Animal nutrition was based on cultivated (Brachiaria humidicola) and native pastures (Paspalum maritimum, Chloris orthonton, Cynodon dactylon; Brachiaria tunnergrass) and further supplemented with hay (Pennisetum purpureum) when kept in pens. Animals had free access to mineral salt and water.

Postpartum ewes with 2 to 3 years of age were initially selected and evaluated according to body condition score, and pre-selected with scores from 2 to 3, as previously described [4,5]. The anestrous condition was evaluated by ultrasonography of the reproductive tract in addition to quantification of P4 concentrations. Blood samples were collected from all ewes on days 10, 20 and 30 after isolation from males. Blood plasma was sampled twice and stored at -20°C, until P4 concentration analysis by radioimmunoassay. Females were considered in anestrus when P4 concentration was lower than 1 ng mL⁻¹ in two consecutive samples [18]. After P4 concentration diagnosis, ewes (n = 99) were randomly allocated to experimental groups under male to female ratios of 1:20 (MFR20), 1:30 (MFR30) and 1:40 (MFR40). Ewes were identified after selection by numbered plastic earrings and colored neckbands in order to ease management practices. Ewes were kept apart from males for 30 days at a distance of 10 m, avoiding physical contact only [5].

Santa Inês rams (n = 3) were selected according to their reproductive performance (proven fertility) and subjected to andrology exam one week before experiment. Rams were marked with a mixture of grease and ink (4:1) around the sternum to facilitate identification of cycling ewes before being introduced in groups. Rams were marked with inks of different colors and were exchanged between groups on days 10, 20 and 30 of the breeding season (BS).

After introduction of rams into ewe flocks, three ewes of each group were randomly subjected to blood collection during a 98-h period in 4-h intervals for LH concentration analysis. Moreover, these ewes were removed from flocks in order to avoid handling stress effects on their reproductive performance. Blood
plasma was used to measure LH and P4 concentrations. Gonadotropin concentrations were determined by radioimmunoassay, and variations of 20 ng mL⁻¹ or higher, within two consecutive samples, were considered significant [16].

Ovulations were confirmed by P4 concentrations measured on days 10, 20 and 30 of the BS, by methods as described above. Ewes were considered cycling when displayed P4 concentrations of 1 ng mL⁻¹ or higher in two consecutive samples.

Ovarian activity was performed by daily ultrasonographic exams after estrous manifestation in six ewes of each group. Exams were performed by the same technician and moment of ovulation was defined according to previous reports [31].

Estrus events were observed for twice a day (6:00 and 16:00 hours) by trained personnel during the BS of 35 days, and estrus were considered synchronized when they occurred within the initial five days of the BS. Pregnancy diagnosis was performed by ultrasonography on days 35 and 60 after the last mating.

Parametric variables were submitted to analysis of variance (ANOVA) and compared by SNK test from System for Statistical Analysis (SAEG) software, with results displayed as means and standard deviation ( ± s). Nonparametric variables were evaluated by the chi-square test and displayed as percentages (%). Level of significance was 5%.

RESULTS

Table 1 summarizes the data relative to P4 serum concentration. It can be noted that P4 concentration were lower than 1 g mL⁻¹, indicating that all ewes were in a non-cycling condition before BS onset. It is also possible to verify that after the introduction of rams, ewes began cycling, leading to ovulation and further differences in P4 levels (P ≤ 0.05) in comparison between before and after rams introduction into ewes flocks.

Figure 1 displays data regarding LH serum concentrations after introduction of rams into ewes flocks. It became evident that, irrespectively of male to female ratio, male effect induced LH pre-ovulatory peaks within 26 to 86 h of the BS onset. Figure 2 contains data related to estrus dispersion that was observed until day 35 of the BS. Estrus onset was dispersed throughout the BS, were 50% of MFR20 ewes, 40% of MFR30 ewes and 20% of MFR40 ewes displayed synchronized estrus. Within the same figure it is possible to note that 100% (MFR20), 90% (MFR30) and 65% (MFR40) of estrus events were detected within the initial 15 days of the BS.

Table 2 contains data concerning estrous manifestation which varied from 70% to 100%, with no difference (P ≥ 0.05) between groups. The number of ewes that repeated estrous within MFR20 and MFR30 was lower (P ≤ 0.05) than those that displayed a single estrous. Table 3 demonstrates major findings on ovarian activity, where no difference was found between follicle sizes (P ≥ 0.05). Moreover, mean number of ovulations did not differ between groups (P ≥ 0.05).

Overall conception rates, as well as conception rates on first and second services are described in Table 4. In contrast to other groups, MFR40 did not display differences between conception rates from fist and second services (P ≥ 0.05). The overall conception rates did not differ between groups (P ≥ 0.05). Table 5 shows that 98.57% of pregnant ewes delivered singletons and twins at similar rates (P ≥ 0.05). Prolificacy did not differ between groups (P ≥ 0.05).

### Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Animals (n)</th>
<th>Before (± s)</th>
<th>After (± s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MFR20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.20a</td>
<td>3.81 ± 0.25a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.21a</td>
<td>3.74 ± 0.35b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.15a</td>
<td>3.66 ± 0.34b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscript letters on same line indicate statistical difference (P ≤ 0.05).
Table 2. Percentage of single and double estrus events of postpartum ewes in anestrus submitted to male effect, under male to female ratios of 1:20 (MFR20), 1:30 (MFR30) and 1:40 (MFR40).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Estrus Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single n/n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR20</td>
<td>18/20 (90.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR30</td>
<td>26/30 (86.66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR40</td>
<td>19/40 (47.50)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscript letters on same line indicate statistical difference ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table 3. Mean (± SE) ovarian follicular diameter (mm) and number of ovulations of postpartum Santa Inês ewes under anestrus, submitted to male effect under male to female ratios of 1:20 (MFR20), 1:30 (MFR30) and 1:40 (MFR40).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Ovarian Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diameter of larger follicle (X ± s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR20</td>
<td>7.35 ± 0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR30</td>
<td>7.33 ± 0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR40</td>
<td>7.43 ± 0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Pregnancy per service of postpartum Santa Inês ewes under anestrus submitted to male effect under male to female ratios of 1:20 (MFR20), 1:30 (MFR30) and 1:40 (MFR40).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Pregnancies per Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First n/n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR20</td>
<td>17/18 (94.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR30</td>
<td>23/26 (88.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR40</td>
<td>16/28 (64.28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different superscript letters on same line indicate statistical difference ($P \leq 0.05$).

Table 5. Percentage of delivery type and prolificacy of postpartum Santa Inês ewes under anestrus, submitted to male effect under male to female ratios of 1:20 (MFR20), 1:30 (MFR30) and 1:40 (MFR40).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Delivery Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Singleton n/n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR20</td>
<td>9/19 (47.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR30</td>
<td>11/26 (42.31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFR40</td>
<td>13/25 (52.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Concentration of LH (ng mL⁻¹), during the period from 6 to 98 hours after the onset of the male effect, in postpartum Santa Inês ewes under anestrus, submitted to male effect under male to female ratios of 1:20 (MFR20), 1:30 (MFR30) and 1:40 (MFR40).

Figure 2. Estrus distribution in postpartum Santa Inês ewes under anestrus, submitted to male effect, under male to female ratios of 1:20 (MFR20), 1:30 (MFR30) and 1:40 (MFR40).

DISCUSSION

As suggested earlier [18], P4 mean concentrations immediately before BS onset within all experimental groups were according to females in a non-cycling condition. P4 mean values after contact between genders increased to levels common to cycling condition, demonstrating the effectiveness of the male effect to induce fertile estrus in non-cycling ewes under postpartum anestrus.

A high percentage of ewes ovulated within a 72 h period after exposure to rams, probably due to elevated basal LH levels [21] that increased ewes sensibility to stimulation [14]. Estrus that was followed by ovulation were due to LH peaks that occurred in a dispersed fashion in all groups. Moreover, preovulatory LH peak in the MFR20 group occurred before the remaining groups: 20 h before MFR30 and 60 h before MFR40. Since rams were submitted to an andrology
exam, in which libido was also evaluated, it is possible that lower male to female ratios led to greater interaction between genders that ultimately led to ovulation anticipation. This hypothesis was established based upon the argument that rams with increased libido raise male effect efficiency by inducing a higher number of ovulations due to increased interaction with ewes [20,25].

Despite the fact that estrus was observed for until day 35 of the BS, it is necessary to note a relevant synchronization rate in MFR20 and MFR30 groups, possibly due to higher male to female ratios. It is important to note that the male effect, irrespectively of groups, was efficient at concentrating estrus in most ewes within the initial 15 days of the BS, a relevant aspect in a production system as it lowers costs needed for trained personnel to observe for estrus, concentrates deliveries under favorable periods, results in uniform lots of animals and allows ovine production planning in accordance with market demands [10]. Another important aspect is that the majority of females on MFR20 and MFR30 groups exhibited a single estrus followed by formation of corpus luteum with physiological viability in contrast to MFR40. Moreover, only 70% of MFR40 ewes displayed estrus, showing the importance of the male to female ratio during the BS. The findings described on the prevalence of singletons over twin deliveries was contrary to previous results [17]. Moreover, data on prolificacy is similar to other findings with Santa Inês ewes pluriparous [12] and nuliparous [30].

Two independent groups registered ovulatory follicles varying from 5.1 to 5.7 mm for wooled sheep breeds [8,11]. As described here, the diameter of the ovulatory follicle was larger then previously described for Santa Inês ewes [28]. It is relevant to consider that ovulatory follicle size and the number of ovulations was similar between all groups, showing that male to female ratio did not influence such factors, that may ultimately be related to their genetic background, although, Nelore beef cattle where shown to have an increased follicular diameter when females were subjected to biostimulation [29].

Conception rate on first service was higher than that of the second service on MFR20 and MFR30 groups where the interaction between genders was higher. This finding demystifies the observation that the first estrus due to the male effect could be anovulatory [32] or, if ovulation occurs, corpus luteum is weak, lapses rapidly and leads to occurrence of short estrus cycles [6]. Moreover, it shows that male to female ratios of 1:30 or higher, even under controlled mating, should be reanalyzed and considered for adoption even if total conception rates did not differ between groups. What may have occurred in MFR40 is that a concentration of estrus events occurred in a large number of ewes, making it demanding for the ram within the initial 15 days of the BS, a fact that may have contributed to similar pregnancy rates on both services.

CONCLUSIONS

The results described here allow the conclusion that male to female ratio affects the efficiency of the male effect to induce and synchronize estrus in ewes under postpartum anestrus, but does not affect conception rates and prolificacy.
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